

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	l
09/955,368	09/18/2001	Harish Viswanathan	16	8345	
46363	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC		GHEBRETINSAE, TEMESGHEN		
	SBURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
SHREWSBU	RY, NJ 07702		2611		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ <u>51_</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	09/955,368	VISWANATHAN, HARIS	SH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Temesghen Ghebretinsae	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	·-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be selected will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this commun IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	<u> August 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 20-22 are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the second or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. •	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 09/955,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. It would be of great assistance to the Office if all incoming papers pertaining to a filed application carried the following items:

- 1. Application number (checked for accuracy, including series code and serial no.).
- 2. Group art unit number (copied from most recent Office communication).
- 3. Filing date.
- 4. Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent Office action.
- 5. Title of invention.
- Confirmation number (See MPEP § 503).

Response to Arguments

2. In response to applicant's argument regarding the Restriction requirement. Applicant argues that the restriction requirement should withdrawn for at least the reason(s) that the search for either group would include searching "wherein each transmit sequence of a particular transmit-sequence chain is a function of symbol of one of the symbol sub-streams of the respective symbol sub-stream pair and a complex conjugate of a symbol of the other symbol sub-stream of the respective symbol sub-stream pair". However, examiner disagree with applicant because group II also include additional limitation "receiver comprising: at least one receive antenna; and a matrix multiplier for multiplying a matrix with received symbol sub-streams of a signal received by the receive antenna, the matrix having at least two pairs of consecutive rows, each such pair being a function of channel characteristics of at least two channels that terminate on the receive antenna but not of channel characteristics of other channels that terminate on the receive antenna, and the matrix being orthogonal" which is not claimed in group I.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,368 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ylitalo et al (6,788,661) in view of Boariu et al (6,865,237) or Dabak et al (6,594,473).

Consider claims 1,6-8,10,14,17-19,Ylitalo discloses a transmitter adapted to transmit at least two sub streams, the transmitter comprising: a space time encoder (10) adapted to space time code at least two pairs of symbol sub-streams, each of the pairs of symbol stream being space time coded to from a respective pair of the transmit sequence chains, the space time coding being such that at least one of the formed pairs of the transmit-sequence chains is a function of symbols of the respective pair of symbol sub-streams and not a function of the symbols of the other pairs of the symbol sub-streams; wherein each transmit sequence of the particular transmit-sequence chain is a function of 1) a symbol of one of the symbol sub-streams of the respective symbol-sub stream pair and 2) a complex conjugate of a symbol of the other symbol sub-stream of the respective symbol sub-stream pair; a plurality of radio frequency; and at least two transmit antenna, each having an input for receiving at least one of the at least two transit-sequence chains. (See fig. 2.4.5, col.2, lines 14-53; col.4, line 51 to col.5, line 57)

Ylitalo differs from the present claimed invention in that he only discloses at least two transmit antennas and thus only discloses two symbol sub-streams. However,

Art Unit: 2611

Ylitalo discloses that additional transmit diversity may be obtained by increasing the number of antennas. Furthermore; Dabak et al disclose a wireless communication system comprising a space time encoder adapted to space time code at least two pairs of symbol sub-streams comprising at least four transmit antennas. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase the number of antennas of Ylitato so that additional transmit diversity may be obtained.

As per claims 2-5 and 11-13, Ylitalo discloses at least two transmit sequence chains represented by a matrix but does not disclose at least four transmit sequence chains represented by a matrix as claimed in claims 2-5 and 11-13. However, Boariu discloses a wireless communication system comprising a space time encoder adapted to space time code; at least four transmit sequence chains represented by a matrix where; each row of the matrix represents one transmit sequence of a respective different one of the transmit sequence chains; and each column of the matrix represents one symbol period. (See fig.3, 4,5 and col.5, lines 5-37; col.20, lines 58-65; col.32 lines 52-60) Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain a matrix for four transmit antennas in the system of Ylitalo if the system uses more than two antennas.

- 5. Claims 9,15-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ylitalo in view of Bevan et al (6,891,897).
- 6. Ylitato disclose all the subject matters as discussed above. Ylitato differs from the present claimed invention in that he does not disclose a channel encoder and

Art Unit: 2611

mapper as claimed in claims 9 and 15-16. However; Bevan discloses a system comprising a space-time encoder; a channel encoder; a mapper and a plurality of transmit antennas. (See fig.5). Ylitalo and Bevan are analogous art because they are from the same filed of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the channel encoder and mapper disclosed by Bevan with the space-time encoder circuit discloses by Ylitato. The motivation/suggestion for doing so would have been to improve the performance of the space-time coding circuit and overall to the diversity transmitter system of Ylitato.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temesghen Ghebretinsae whose telephone number is 571-272-3017. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 to 6. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2611

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.Ghebretinsae

10/10/06.

Temesghen Ghebretinsae Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

> TEMESGHEN GHEBRETUNSAL PRIMARY EXAMINER